

Verb Classes in Thai

Audra Phillips

Payap University and SIL International

Prang Thiengburanathum

Payap University

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to propose a set of syntactic tests for determining verb classes in Thai, with reference to the syntactic tests presented in Van Valin and LaPolla (1997) and Van Valin (In press). Thai, the national language of Thailand, is a member the Tai-Kadai family of languages. It is an isolating language with almost no morphology. It is also highly context-sensitive. Even so, Thai exhibits distinct verb classes that parallel those discovered in other languages.

The syntactic tests for determining verb classes in Thai are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, the application of the tests is demonstrated with a selection of non-causative Thai verbs. Finally, Section 4 concludes with a summary of syntactic testing for Thai verb classes and directions for further research.¹

2. Syntactic tests for Thai verb classes

Since morphology is limited in Thai, verbs are often called upon to code grammatical information handled by morphology in other languages. Because of this, it is important to establish that a particular form is, in fact, a main verb. Occurrence with the negative marker *mâj* 'NEG' serves to differentiate verbs from nominals in Thai. Prototypical verbs such as *phát* 'blow', *sâaj* 'build', *râ?bàət* 'explode' and *mii* 'have' all occur with *mâj*. In addition, descriptive words such as *sŭaj* 'beautiful' and *rew* 'fast' also occur with the negative marker which identifies them as verbs in Thai as well. However, it is not enough to establish verb membership. In order to be considered a main verb, a verb must be able to head a verb phrase. For example, *tɔŋ* 'must', passes the negative marker test, however, it cannot head a verb phrase by itself. Therefore, it cannot be considered a main verb and is not eligible for the verb class tests that follow.

The syntactic tests for distinguishing Thai verb classes are listed in Table 1, below.² Seven non-causative verb classes can be distinguished in Thai as opposed to the six verb classes distinguished in Van Valin (In press). These seven classes are: Adjectival States, Verbal States, Achievements, Accomplishments, Activities, Active Accomplishments and Semelfactives. The additional class, Adjectival States, includes all the semantic types of adjectives found in English (Dixon 1977:31). A similar adjective class, a sub-type of verbs, has also been described for Lao, a related language (Enfield 2004).

¹ This paper covers research carried out with non-causative verbs only.

² Two tests, Test 1 and Test 2, as presented in Van Valin and LaPolla (1997:94), do not work well for Thai. Test 1, occurrence with progressive aspect, is not possible in Thai because Thai does not have progressive aspect implying continuous action. Test 2, occurrence with dynamic adverbs, is a challenging one in Thai due to the large inventory of dynamic adverbs and the collocational restrictions with each adverb. Given the complexity of this test in Thai and the fact that this test is not necessary to distinguish Thai verb classes, we have chosen not to include it in this set of syntactic tests.

	Adjectival States	Verbal States	Achievements	Accomplishments	Activities	Active Accomplishments	Semelfactives
1) Occurs with the comparative marker <i>kwàa</i>	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
2) Occurs with pace verbs like <i>rew</i> ‘fast’, <i>tçháa</i> ‘slow’ ³	No	No	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	No*
3) Occurs with the durative marker <i>kamlaj</i>	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No*
4) Occurs with (<i>phaaj</i>) <i>naj nùj tçhuamooj</i> ‘in one hour’	No	No	No*	Yes	No	Yes	No
5) Occurs with <i>phêej</i> ‘just now’ and <i>săam khráj</i> ‘three times’ with a singular subject	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
6) Causative paraphrase with <i>hâj</i> ‘cause, allow’	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Table 1. Verb class tests for Thai⁴

The first test, occurrence with the comparative marker *kwàa* ‘more than’, serves to distinguish adjectival states from other verb classes in Thai. Of the Thai verbs, only adjectival states, such as *sűaj* ‘beautiful’ and *rew* ‘fast’, can occur with the comparative marker.

The second test, occurrence with pace verbs, serves to identify non-static verbs with temporal duration and behaves in Thai as outlined in Van Valin and LaPolla (1997). The asterisk for Achievements indicates that Achievements can occur with pace verbs indicating very short duration, so care has been taken to test with pace verbs indicating a longer temporal duration. The asterisk for Semelfactives indicates that Semelfactives can occur with pace verbs with an iterative reading. However, if a time phrase is added indicating the action occurred one time, occurrence with a pace verb is not possible with Semelfactive verbs. Therefore, semelfactive verbs are tested with a temporal phrase such as *nùj khráj* ‘one time’. Accomplishments, Activities and Active Accomplishments pass this test with verbs indicating a longer temporal duration, while Adjectival States, Verbal States, Achievements and Semelfactives fail this test.

The third test, occurrence with the durative marker *kamlaj*⁵, provides a fairly pragmatically-neutral test of temporal duration as opposed to using a temporal phrase such as *pen weelaa nùj tçhuamooj* “for an hour”. For example, the durative marker can occur with Adjectival States referring to inherent properties, whereas occurrence with *pen weelaa nùj tçhuamooj* is ungrammatical as illustrated by example (1), below.

³ /tç/ and /tçh/ are being used to represent the non-aspirated and aspirated Thai devoiced lenis affricates [d̥t͡ɕ] and [d̥t͡ɕh], respectively.

⁴ The asterisks in Table 1 indicate problems and considerations that affect a test for a particular verb class. These are discussed in the description of each test, below.

⁵ *kamlaj* is defined by the Haas Thai Dictionary (1964:34) as indicating ongoing action or a prevailing state.

- 1) **lék sǎj pen weelaa nǎj tǎuamooŋ*
 Lek beautiful be time one hour

With Semelfactives, *kamlaj* can only occur if no counting expressions are added such as *nǎj khráj* ‘one time’ or *sǎam khráj* ‘three times’, so for Semelfactives the test is marked ‘No’ with an asterisk. Finally, while *kamlaj* can occur with Accomplishments and Activities, it cannot occur with Active Accomplishments.⁶ We know from a positive result for Test 3, occurrence with pace verbs, that Active Accomplishments have temporal duration. However, it appears that the telicity of Thai Active Accomplishments seems to preclude them from co-occurring with the durative marker. Since Thai Active Accomplishments do not behave the same as with Test 3, the results of this test are relevant, providing a means of distinguishing Accomplishments and Active Accomplishments in Thai. Adjectival States, Verbal States, Accomplishments and Activities pass this test, while Achievements, Active Accomplishments and Semelfactives fail this test.

The fourth test, occurrence with the *in*-phrase, *phaaj naj nǎj tǎuamooŋ* ‘in one hour’, serves to identify verbs with a terminal point. The asterisks for Achievements and Semelfactives indicate that they can occur with *in*-phrases of very short duration, but they cannot occur with *in*-phrases of longer duration. Therefore they are marked ‘No’ with an asterisk for this test. Accomplishments and Active Accomplishments pass this test with *in*-phrases of longer duration, while Adjectival and Verbal States, Achievements, Activities and Semelfactives fail this test.

The fifth test, occurrence with *phǎeŋ* ‘just now’ and *sǎam khráj* ‘three times’ with a singular subject, is designed to distinguish Achievements, which have a result state, from Semelfactives, which have no result state.⁷ The format of this test is taken from verb class tests for Phowa, a Tibeto-Burman language of China (Pelkey 2004). It is based on the observation (Van Valin, In press) that Achievements can have an iterative reading only with plural subjects, while Semelfactives can have an iterative reading with a singular subject. Only Semelfactives pass this test. Adjectival and Verbal States, Achievements, Accomplishments, Activities and Active Accomplishments fail this test.

⁶ The temporal phrase *pen weelaa nǎj tǎuamooŋ* also cannot occur with Active Accomplishments as demonstrated by example (1), below.

- 1) **khǎw wǐŋ paj thǎj suansǎathǎarána? pen weelaa nǎj tǎuamooŋ*
 3s run go to park be time one hour

⁷ The suggested test to distinguish Achievements and Semelfactives is whether the verb can function as a stative modifier. In Thai, however, modified nouns and relative clauses are configured in the same way, making their interpretation ambiguous. This is illustrated in example (2), below, where *bǎan jǎj* can be interpreted as a modified noun or an attributive sentence.

- 2) *bǎan jǎj*
 house big
 ‘the big house’
 ‘The house is big’

The semelfactive verb *kra?phríp* ‘flash, blink’ can occur in the same syntactic configuration as in example (2). This is illustrated in example (3), below.

- 3) *tǎn khrísamâat tòktǎeŋ dǔaj faj kra?phríp lâak sǐ*
 tree Christmas decorate with light flash many colour

‘The Christmas tree is decorated with flashing lights of many colours.’

Given the ambiguity of modified nouns and relative clauses, the stative modifier test cannot be used to distinguish Semelfactives and Achievements in Thai.

Finally, Test 6, is designed to identify lexical causatives. A verb is causative if it can be paraphrased with a causative marker such as *hâj* ‘cause, allow’, a result state and the same number of arguments as in the sentence being paraphrased. Intransitive verbs have only one argument so they cannot be causativized, since causation requires at least two arguments. The application of this test is illustrated with two Thai causative verbs *lá?laaj* ‘melt’ and *khâa* ‘kill’ in examples (2) – (5), below. Examples (2) and (4) are the basic sentences with these verbs and examples (3) and (5) demonstrate causative paraphrases of the basic sentences in (2) and (4).

- 2) *khǎw lá?laaj námkhǎj*
 3s melt ice
 ‘He melted the ice.’
- 3) *khǎw hâj námkhǎj lá?laaj*
 3s cause ice melt
 ‘He caused the ice to melt.’
- 4) *khǎw khâa mææw (hâj taaj)*
 3s kill cat cause die
 ‘He killed the cat (dead).’
- 5) *khǎw hâj mææw taaj*
 3s cause cat die
 ‘He caused the cat to die.’

3. The application of verb class tests to Thai verbs

This section of the paper provides a demonstration of the application of the proposed syntactic tests in Table 1, above, to a selection of Thai non-causative verbs. We will begin with Adjectival States.

3.1. Adjectival states

The behaviour of Adjectival States is illustrated with two verbs: *sǔaj* ‘beautiful’ and *pùaj* ‘sick’.

3.1.1. *sǔaj*

Example (6) is the basic sentence for *sǔaj*.

- 6) *tàæŋ bəæp ní sǔaj*
 decorate type this beautiful
 ‘This kind of decorating is beautiful.’

sǔaj occurs with the comparative marker *kwàa* (Test 1) as in example (7). It also occurs with the durative marker *kamlaj* (Test 3) as in example (8).

- 7) *tàæŋ bəæp ní sǔaj kwàa*
 decorate type this beautiful more
 ‘This kind of decorating is more beautiful.’
- 8) *tàæŋ bəæp ní kamlaj sǔaj*
 decorate type this DUR beautiful
 ‘This kind of decorating is beautiful (now).’

sǔaj fails the remaining four tests. It cannot occur with pace verbs (Test 2) as illustrated by example (9). It cannot occur with the *in*-phrase (Test 4) as demonstrated by example (10). Nor does it pass the test for iteration with a singular subject (Test 5) as shown in example (11). Finally, since *sǔaj* is an intransitive verb a causative paraphrase is not possible (Test 6).

- 9) **tææŋ bææp ní sǔaj rew/tçháa*
 decorate type this beautiful quickly/slowly
- 10) **tææŋ bææp ní sǔaj phaajnaj nɛŋ tçhuamooŋ*
 decorate type this beautiful inside one hour
- 11) **tææŋ bææp ní phôŋ sǔaj sǎam khráj*
 decorate type this just_now beautiful three time

The test results for *sǔaj* are listed in Table 2, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>sǔaj</i>	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table 2. Test results for *sǔaj*

These test results show that *sǔaj* ‘beautiful’ is an Adjectival State. The semantic representation of (6), above, is as follows:

be’ (tææŋ bææp ní, [beautiful’])

3.1.2. *pùaj*

The second adjectival state verb is *pùaj* ‘sick’. Example (12) is the basic sentence for *pùaj*.

- 12) *pì?ti? pùaj*
 Piti sick
 ‘Piti is sick.’

pùaj passes Test 1, occurrence with the comparative marker, as illustrated in example (13)

- 13) *pì?ti? pùaj kwàa*
 Piti sick more
 ‘Piti is sicker.’

pùaj cannot occur with pace verbs, as in example (14).

- 14) **pì?ti? pùaj rew/tçháa*
 Piti sick quickly/slowly

pùaj does occur with the durative marker as demonstrated by example (15).

- 15) *pì?ti? kamlaŋ pùaj*
 Piti DUR sick
 ‘Piti is sick (now).’

pùaj does not occur with the *in*-phrase as illustrated by example (16). *pùaj* also fails the test of repeated action with a singular subject (Test 5) as illustrated by example (17). It also fails Test 6, since it is an intransitive verb, making a causative paraphrase impossible.

- 16) **pì?ti? pùaj phaajnaj nɛŋ tçhuamooŋ*
 Piti sick inside one hour
- 17) **pì?ti? phôŋ pùaj sǎam khráj*
 Piti just_now big three time

The test results for *pùaj* are summarized in Table 3, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>pùaj</i>	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table 3. Test results for *pùaj*

These test results show that *pùaj* ‘sick’ is an Adjectival State. The semantic representation of (12), above, is as follows:

be’ (pì?ti?, [sick’])

3.2. Verbal states

The behaviour of Verbal States is illustrated with two verbs: *mii* ‘have’ and *pen* ‘be’.

3.2.1. *mii*

The basic sentence for *mii* is found in example (18)

- 18) *tç^huutçaj mii ηəən*
 Chujay have money
 ‘Chujay has money.’

mii cannot occur with the comparative marker (Test 1) nor does it occur with pace verbs (Test 2) as illustrated by examples (19) and (20), respectively.

- 19) **tç^huutçaj mii ηəən kwàa*
 Chujay have money more
 20) **tç^huutçaj mii ηəən rew/tçháa*
 Chujay have money quickly/slowly

mii does occur with the durative marker, *kamlaŋ*, passing Test 3, as illustrated in example (21)⁸.

- 21) *tç^huutçaj kamlaŋ mii ηəən*
 Chujay DUR have money
 ‘Chujay has money (now).’

mii does not occur with the *in*-phrase (Test 4) as demonstrated by example (22). It does not have an iterative reading with a singular subject (Test 5) as demonstrated by example (23). Finally, a causative paraphrase is ungrammatical as illustrated by example (24).

- 22) **tç^huutçaj mii ηəən phaajnaj nèŋ tçhuamooŋ*
 Chujay have money inside one hour
 23) **tç^huutçaj phêəŋ mii ηəən sǎam khráŋ*
 Chujay just_now have money three time
 24) **tç^huutçaj hâj ηəən mii*
 Chujay cause money have

⁸ However, *kamlaŋ* cannot occur in more static situations as illustrated by example (4), below where the norm is for someone to have a house for a very long time.

4) **tç^huutçaj kamlaŋ mii bâan*
 Chujay DUR have house

The test results for *mii* are listed in Table 4, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>mii</i>	No	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table 4. Test results for *mii*

These test results show that *mii* ‘have’ is a Verbal State. The semantic representation of (18), above, is as follows:

have’ (t̥chuutçaj, ηəən)

3.2.2. *pen*

The basic sentence for the second verbal state verb, *pen* ‘be’ is in example (25).

- 25) *phlɔɔj pen wət*
 Phloy be common_cold
 ‘Phloy has a cold. (Phloy is (with) cold.)’

pen cannot occur with the comparative marker (Test 1) as demonstrated by example (26). It also cannot occur with pace verbs (Test 2) as in example (27), below.

- 26) **phlɔɔj pen wət kwàa*
 Phloy be common_cold more
- 27) **phlɔɔj pen wət rew/t̥cháa*
 Phloy be common_cold quickly/slowly

pen does occur with the durative marker, passing Test 3 as in example (28).

- 28) *phlɔɔj kamlaŋ pen wət*
 Phloy DUR be common_cold
 ‘Phloy has a cold (now).’

pen cannot occur with the *in*-phrase as in example (29), nor does it have an iterative reading with a singular subject as demonstrated by example (30). Finally, a causative paraphrase with *pen* is ungrammatical as demonstrated by example (31), below.

- 29) **phlɔɔj pen wət naj n̄əŋ t̥chuamooŋ*
 Phloy be common_cold in one hour
- 30) **phlɔɔj phəəŋ pen wət s̄aam khráŋ*
 Phloy just_now be common_cold three time
- 31) **phlɔɔj h̄aj wət pen*
 Phloy cause common_cold be

The test results for *pen* are summarized in Table 5, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>pen</i>	No	No	Yes	No	No	No

Table 5. Test results for *pen*

These test results show that *pen* ‘be’ is a Verbal State. The semantic representation of (25), above, is as follows:

be’ (phlɔɔj, wət)

3.3. Achievements

The behaviour of Achievements is illustrated with two verbs: *rá?bàət* ‘explode’ and *taaj* ‘die’.

3.3.1. *rá?bàət*

The basic sentence for *rá?bàət* is found in example (32), below.

- 32) *bâan rá?bàət*
house explode
‘The house exploded.’

rá?bàət passes none of the first five tests as demonstrated by examples (33) – (37), below. Finally, since *rá?bàət* is an intransitive verb, the causative paraphrase test (Test 6) cannot be applied.

- 33) **bâan rá?bàət kwàa*
house explode more
- 34) **bâan rá?bàət rew/tçháa*
house explode quickly/slowly
- 35) **bâan kamlaŋ rá?bàət*
house DUR explode
- 36) **bâan rá?bàət phaajnaj nèŋ tçhuamooŋ*
house explode inside one hour
- 37) **bâan phêəŋ rá?bàət səam khráŋ*
house just_now explode three time

The test results for *rá?bàət* are listed in Table 6, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>rá?bàət</i>	No	No	No	No	No	No

Table 6. Test results for *rá?bàət*

These test results show that *rá?bàət* ‘explode’ is an Achievement. The semantic representation of (32), above, is as follows:

INGR **explode’** (bâan)

3.3.2. *taaj*

The basic sentence for the second achievement verb, *taaj* ‘die’ is in example (38).

- 38) *taa taaj*
Grandfather die
‘Grandfather died.’

taaj cannot co-occur with the comparative marker as in example (39).

- 39) **taa taaj kwàa*
Grandfather die more

taaj does occur with *rew* ‘quickly’ in colloquial speech as in example (40), below. However, *rew tçəŋ* in (40) refers to the untimeliness of the death, not the speed of the act of dying. So, *taaj* can be counted as not occurring with pace verbs, which is characteristic of achievement predicates.

- 40) *thammaj taa taaj rew tçəŋ*
why Grandfather die quickly very
‘Why did he die so quickly (before his time).’

taaj cannot occur with the durative marker as shown by example (41), below. It cannot occur with the *in*-phrase, as in example (42). The action of dying cannot be repeated with a singular subject as demonstrated by example (43). Finally, *taaj* is an intransitive verb so it cannot participate in a causative paraphrase.

- 41) **taa* *kamlaj taaj*
 Grandfather DUR die
- 42) **taa* *taaj phaaɲnaj nɛ̃ŋ tɕhuamooŋ*
 Grandfather die inside one hour
- 43) **taa* *phêɲ taaj səam khráŋ*
 Grandfather just_now die three time

The test results for *taaj* are summarized in Table 7, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>taaj</i>	No	No	No	No	No	No

Table 7. Test results for *taaj*

These test results show that *taaj* ‘die’ is an achievement verb. The semantic representation of (38), above, is as follows:

INGR **die**’ (*taa*)

3.4. Accomplishments

The behaviour of Accomplishments is illustrated with two verbs: *sâaŋ* ‘build’ and *lá?laaj* ‘melt’.

3.4.1. *sâaŋ*

The basic sentence for *sâaŋ* is presented in example (44), below.

- 44) *lék sâaŋ bâan*
 Lek build house
 ‘Lek built a house.’

sâaŋ does not occur with the comparative marker, as illustrated by example (45).

- 45) **lék sâaŋ bâan kwàa*
 Lek build house more

sâaŋ does co-occur with pace verbs as demonstrated by example (46). It also occurs with the durative marker as illustrated in example (47).

- 46) *lék sâaŋ bâan rew/tɕháa*
 Lek build house quickly/slowly
 ‘Lek is building a house quickly/slowly.’
- 47) *lék kamlaj sâaŋ bâan*
 Lek DUR build house
 ‘Lek is building a house.’

Pragmatically, one cannot build a house in an hour as illustrated by example (48). However, it is possible to build a birdhouse in an hour, as illustrated by example (49), below, evidence that *sâaŋ* can occur with the *in*-phrase.

- 48) **lék sâaŋ bâan phaaɲnaj nɛ̃ŋ tɕhuamooŋ*
 Lek build house inside one hour

- 49) *lék sâaŋ bâan nók phaajnaj nùŋ t̄chuamoŋ*
 Lek build house bird inside one hour
 ‘Lek built the birdhouse in one hour.’

The action of *sâaŋ* cannot be repeated with a singular subject as demonstrated by example (50). Finally, *sâaŋ* cannot successfully occur in a causative paraphrase as demonstrated by example (51).

- 50) **lék phôŋ sâaŋ bâan lăŋ ní s̄am khráŋ*
 Lek just_now build house CL this three time
- 51) **lék hâj bâan sâaŋ*
 Lek cause house build

The test results for *sâaŋ* are summarized in Table 8, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>sâaŋ</i>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No

Table 8. Test results for *sâaŋ*

These test results show that *sâaŋ* ‘build’ is an Accomplishment. The semantic representation of (44), above, is as follows:

BECOME **built**’ (lék, bâan)

3.4.2. *lá?laaj*

The basic sentence for the second accomplishment verb, *lá?laaj* ‘melt’ is in example (52).

- 52) *námkhăŋ lá?laaj*
 ice melt
 ‘The ice melts.’

lá?laaj does not occur with the comparative marker as illustrated in example (53), below.

- 53) **námkhăŋ lá?laaj kwàa*
 ice melt more

lá?laaj occurs with pace verbs as illustrated in example (54). It occurs with the durative marker as in example (55). It also occurs with the *in*-phrase as demonstrated by example (56).

- 54) *námkhăŋ lá?laaj rew/t̄cháa*
 ice melt quickly/slowly
 ‘The ice melts quickly/slowly.’
- 55) *námkhăŋ kamləŋ lá?laaj*
 ice DUR melt
 ‘The ice is melting.’
- 56) *námkhăŋ lá?laaj phaajnaj nùŋ t̄chuamoŋ*
 ice melt inside one hour
 ‘The ice melted in one hour.’

The action of *lá?laaj* cannot be repeated as demonstrated by example (57). Finally, *lá?laaj* is an intransitive verb in the context of the basic sentence (52), thus, the causative paraphrase test cannot be applied.

- 57) **námkhăŋ phôŋ lá?laaj s̄am khráŋ*
 ice just_now melt three time

The test results for *lá?laaj* are listed in Table 9, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>lá?laaj</i>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No

Table 9. Test results for *lá?laaj*

These test results show that *lá?laaj* ‘melt’ is an Accomplishment. The semantic representation of (52), above, is as follows:

BECOME **melted**’ (námkhǎŋ)

3.5. Activities

The behaviour of Activities is illustrated with two verbs: *phát* ‘blow’ and *khǎaj* ‘sell’.

3.5.1. *phát*

The basic sentence for *phát* is found in example (58).

- 58) *lom phát*
 wind blow
 ‘The wind blows.’

phát does not occur with the comparative marker as illustrated in example (59).

- 59) **lom phát kwàa*
 wind blow more

phát does occur with pace verbs and the durative marker as illustrated by examples (60) and (61), respectively.

- 60) *lom phát rew/tǎháa*
 wind blow fast/slow
 ‘The wind blows quickly/slowly.’
- 61) *lom kamlaŋ phát*
 wind DUR blow
 ‘The wind is blowing.’

phát cannot occur with the *in*-phrase as demonstrated by example (62). The action of *phát* cannot be repeated with a non-plural subject, as demonstrated by example (63). Finally, *phát* is an intransitive verb, so the causative paraphrase test cannot be applied.

- 62) **lom phát naj nǎŋ tǎhuamooŋ*
 wind blow in one hour
- 63) **lom phǎəŋ phát sǎam khráŋ*
 wind just_now blow three time

The test results for *phát* are summarized in Table 10, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>phát</i>	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No

Table 10. Test results for *phát*

These test results show that *phát* ‘blow’ is an Activity. The semantic representation of (58), above, is as follows:

do’ (lom, [blow’ (lom)])

3.5.2. *khǎaj*

The basic sentence for the second activity verb, *khǎaj* ‘sell’ is in example (64).

- 64) *dææŋ khǎaj khǒŋ*
 Daeng sell thing
 ‘Daeng sells things.’

khǎaj does not occur with the comparative marker, as illustrated by example (65).

- 65) **dææŋ khǎaj khǒŋ kwàa*
 Daeng sell thing more

khǎaj does occur with the pace verb *rew*, as illustrated by example (66). It also occurs with the durative marker as demonstrated by (67).

- 66) *dææŋ khǎaj khǒŋ rew tçan*
 Daeng sell thing quickly very
 ‘Daeng sells things very quickly.’

- 67) *dææŋ kamlan khǎaj khǒŋ*
 Daeng DUR sell thing
 ‘Daeng is selling things.’

khǎaj cannot occur with the *in*-phrase as demonstrated by example (68). The action of *khǎaj* cannot be repeated with a singular subject as in example (69). Finally, a causative paraphrase of the basic sentence in (64), above, is ungrammatical as demonstrated by example (70), below.

- 68) **dææŋ khǎaj khǒŋ naj nùŋ tçhuamooŋ*
 Daeng sell thing in one hour
- 69) **dææŋ phêŋ khǎaj khǒŋ sǎam khráŋ*
 Daeng just_now sell thing three time
- 70) **dææŋ hǎj khǒŋ khǎaj*
 Daeng cause thing sell

The test results for *khǎaj* are summarized in Table 11, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>khǎaj</i>	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No

Table 11. Test results for *khǎaj*

These test results show that *khǎaj* ‘sell’ is an Activity. The semantic representation of (64), above, is as follows:

do’ (dææŋ, [**sell’** (dææŋ, khǒŋ)])

3.6. Active Accomplishments

The behaviour of active accomplishment predicates will be demonstrated with *khǎaj mòt* ‘sell completely’ and *wîŋ paj thǎŋ sǎan sǎathǎaraná?* ‘run to the park’.

3.6.1. *khǎaj mòt*

The basic sentence for *khǎaj mòt* is in example (71), below.

- 71) *jút khǎaj ?aahǎan mòt*
 Yut sell food completely
 ‘Yut sold all the food.’

khǎaj mòt does not co-occur with the comparative marker, as demonstrated by example (72).

- 72) **jút khǎaj ?aahǎan mòt kwàa*
 Yut sell food completely more

khǎaj mòt does occur with the pace verb *rew*, as in example (73), which shows that it has temporal duration.

- 73) *jút khǎaj ?aahǎan mòt rew*
 Yut sell food completely fast
 ‘Yut quickly sold all the food.’

However, *khǎaj mòt* does not occur with the durative marker, as illustrated in example (74), even though its temporal duration property was confirmed through occurrence with a pace verb in example (73), above.

- 74) **jút kamlaŋ khǎaj ?aahǎan mòt*
 Yut DUR sell food completely

khǎaj mòt occurs with the *in*-phrase as in example (75).

- 75) *jút khǎaj ?aahǎan mòt naj n̄ŋ t̄chuamooŋ*
 Yut sell food completely in one hour
 ‘Yut sold all the food in one hour.’

The action of *khǎaj mòt* cannot be repeated with a singular subject as demonstrated by example (76). Finally, a causative paraphrase of the basic sentence in (71), above, is ungrammatical as demonstrated by example (77), below.

- 76) **jút ph̄əŋ khǎaj ?aahǎan mòt s̄am khráŋ*
 Yut just_now sell thing completely three time
- 77) **jút h̄ai ?aahǎan khǎaj mòt*
 Yut cause food sell completely

The test results for *khǎaj mòt* are summarized in Table 12, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>khǎaj mòt</i>	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No

Table 12. Test results for *khǎaj mòt*

These test results show that *khǎaj mòt* ‘sell completely’ is an Active Accomplishment. The semantic representation of (71), above, is as follows:

do’ (jút, [sell’ (jút, ?aahǎan)]) & INGR NOT **have’** (jút, ?aahǎan)

3.6.2. *w̄ŋ paj th̄ŋ suansǎathǎaraná?*

The basic sentence for *w̄ŋ paj th̄ŋ suansǎathǎaraná?* ‘run to the park’ is presented in example (78), below.

- 78) *m̄ŋj w̄ŋ paj th̄ŋ suansǎathǎaraná?*
 Muei run go to park
 ‘Muei ran to the park.’

w̄ŋ paj th̄ŋ suansǎathǎaraná? cannot co-occur with the comparative marker, as illustrated by example (79), below.

- 79) **m̄ŋj w̄ŋ paj th̄ŋ suansǎathǎaraná? kwàa*
 Muei run go to park more

wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná? can occur with a pace verb showing its temporal duration. This is demonstrated by example (80), below.

- 80) *mǎŋj wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná? jàaŋrewwaj*
 Muei run go to park quickly
 ‘Muei ran to the park quickly.’

However, *wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?* cannot occur with the durative marker, another indicator of temporal duration, demonstrated by example (81).

- 81) **mǎŋj kamlaŋ wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?*
 Muei DUR run go to park

The telicity of *wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?* is shown by its occurrence with the *in*-phrase in example (82), below.

- 82) *mǎŋj wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná? phaaŋnaj nǎŋ tçhuamooŋ*
 Muei run go to park inside one hour
 ‘Muei ran to the park in one hour.’

The action of *wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?* cannot be repeated with a singular subject (Test 5) as shown by example (83). Finally, since *wîŋ* is an intransitive verb, the causative paraphrase test cannot be applied.

- 83) **mǎŋj phêŋ wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná? sǎam khráŋ*
 Muei just_now run go to park three time

The test results for *wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?* are summarized in Table 13, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>wîŋpaj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?</i>	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No

Table 13. Test results for *wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?*

These test results show that *wîŋ paj thǎŋ suansǎathǎaraná?* ‘run to the park’ is an Active Accomplishment. The semantic representation of (78), above, is as follows:

do’ (mǎŋj, [run’ (mǎŋj)]) & INGR **be-at’** (suansǎathǎaraná?, mǎŋj)

3.7. Semelfactives

The behaviour of semelfactive predicates will be demonstrated with *khó?* ‘knock, tap’ and *tçam* ‘sneeze’.

3.7.1. *khó?*

The basic sentence with *khó?* is in example (84), below.⁹

- 84) *maanii khó? pra?tuu nǎŋ khráŋ*
 Maanii knock door one time
 ‘Maanii knocked (on) the door once.’

khó? does not occur with the comparative marker, pace verb, durative marker or the *in*-phrase, failing Tests 1-4. This is illustrated in examples (85) – (88), respectively.

- 85) **maanii khó? pra?tuu nǎŋ khráŋ kwàa*
 Maanii knock door one time more

⁹ The basic sentence for semelfactive verbs includes the temporal phrase indicating a single instance of the action in order to insure a non-iterative reading with Tests 2 and 3, occurrence with pace verbs and the durative marker.

- 86) **maanii khó? pra?tuu nèη khráη rew/tçháa*
 Maanii knock door one time quickly/slowly
- 87) **maanii kamlaη khó? pra?tuu nèη khráη*
 Maanii DUR knock door one time
- 88) **maanii khó? pra?tuu nèη khráη phaajnaj nèη tçhuamooη*
 Maanii knock door one time inside one hour

The action of *khó?* is repeatable with a singular subject, showing that *khó?* has no result state. This is illustrated in example (89), below.

- 89) *maanii phêəη khó? pra?tuu paj sãam khráη*
 Maanii just_now knock door go three time
 ‘Just now, Maanii knocked (on) the door three times.’

Finally, a causative paraphrase of the basic sentence in example (84), above, is ungrammatical as illustrated in example (90), below.

- 90) **maanii háj prá?tuu khó? nèη khráη*
 Maanii cause door knock one time

The test results for *khó?* are summarized in Table 14, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>khó?</i>	No	No	No	No	Yes	No

Table 14. Test results for *khó?*

These test results show that *khó?* ‘knock, tap’ is a semelfactive verb. The semantic representation of (84), above, is as follows:

SEML **do**’(maanii, [**knock**’(maanii, prá?tuu)])

3.7.2. *tçaam*

The basic sentence with *tçaam* is in example (91), below.

- 91) *maaná? tçaam nèη thii*
Maaná? sneeze one time
 ‘Maana sneezed one time.’

As with *khó?* ‘knock, tap’, above, *tçaam* does not occur with the comparative marker, pace verbs, durative marker or the *in*-phrase, thus failing Tests 1-4. This is demonstrated by examples (92) – (95), below.

- 92) **maaná? tçaam nèη thii kwàa*
Maaná? sneeze one time more
- 93) **maaná? tçaam nèη thii rew/tçháa*
Maaná? sneeze one time quickly/slowly
- 94) **maaná? kamlaη tçaam nèη thii*
Maaná? DUR sneeze one time
- 95) **maaná? tçaam nèη thii phaajnaj nèη tçhuamooη*
Maaná? sneeze one time inside one hour

The action of *tçaam* is repeatable with a singular subject as demonstrated by example (96). Finally, *tçaam* is an intransitive verb, so a causative paraphrase is not possible.

- 96) *maaná? phêəŋ tçaam paj sǎam thii*
Maaná? just_now sneeze go three time
 ‘Just now, Maana sneezed three times.’

The test results for *tçaam* are summarized in Table 15, below.

	Test I	Test II	Test III	Test IV	Test V	Test VI
<i>tçaam</i>	No	No	No	No	Yes	No

Table 15. Test results for *tçaam*

These test results show that *tçaam* ‘sneeze’ is a semelfactive verb. The semantic representation of (91), above, is as follows:

SEML **do**’(maaná?, [**sneeze**’ (maaná?)])

4. Conclusion

This paper has presented a possible set of syntactic tests for identifying and distinguishing non-causative verb classes in Thai. It has also demonstrated the fact that syntactically distinct Aktionsart classes can be distinguished in Thai.

Those tests that parallel the tests presented in Van Valin and LaPolla (1997) and Van Valin (In press), namely, the pace verb, *in*-phrase, iterative action with a singular subject and causative paraphrase tests, behave, for the most part, as predicted for Thai. One notable exception is the inability of the occurrence of the durative marker *kamləŋ* with Active Accomplishments. This is contrary to the prediction that Accomplishments and Active Accomplishments will pattern the same way with a marker of temporal duration since they pattern the same way with pace verbs, also indicators of temporal duration. This is an area that requires further investigation. Finally, two other areas for immediate investigation are lexical causative verbs and their interaction with these tests as well as patterns of derivation between Thai verb classes.

References

- Enfield, N.J. 2004. *Adjectives in Lao*. In R.M.W. Dixon and A. Aikhenvald (eds.), *Adjective classes: A cross-linguistic typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 323-347.
- Haas, Mary. 1964. *Thai-English student's dictionary*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Pelkey, Jamin. 2004. *Phowa verbal semantics*. M.A. thesis. Payap University, Chiang Mai, Thailand.
- Van Valin, Robert D., Jr. & Randy J. LaPolla. 1997. *Syntax: structure, meaning and function*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Van Valin, Robert D., Jr. In press. *Exploring the syntax-semantics interface*. New York: Cambridge University Press.